

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 3

4 KEVIN JAMES LISLE,

5 Petitioner,

6 v.

7 WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

8 Respondents.
 9

Case No. 2:03-cv-01005-JCM-DJA

**ORDER GRANTING
 MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
 (ECF NO. 305)**

10
 11 In this capital habeas corpus action, on September 8, 2021, the Court denied the
 12 habeas petition, and judgment was entered accordingly (ECF Nos. 292, 293). On
 13 October 6, 2021, the petitioner, Kevin James Lisle, represented by appointed counsel,
 14 filed a motion to alter or amend the judgment (ECF No. 295). After an initial 14-day
 15 period (see LR 7-2(b)), a 61-day extension of time (ECF No. 302), and a 60-day
 16 extension of time (ECF No. 304), Respondents were due to respond to Lisle's motion by
 17 February 18, 2022.

18 On February 18, 2022, Respondents filed another motion for extension of time
 19 (ECF No. 305), requesting another 60 days, to April 19, 2022, to respond to Lisle's
 20 motion. Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of
 21 her obligations in other cases, her administrative duties, and health issues impacting
 22 her ability to work. Lisle does not oppose the motion for extension of time. The Court
 23 finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely
 24 for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time
 25 requested.

26 The Court has examined Lisle's motion. Considering the nature of that motion,
 27 and the time now granted Respondents to respond—over six months—the Court
 28 *will not look favorably upon any motion to further extend this deadline.*

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner will have 30 days to file a reply to Respondents' response to his motion to alter or amend the judgment.

JAMES C. MAHAN
JAMES C. MAHAN,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE